

# **EXHIBIT 6**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., )  
)  
Plaintiff, )  
) Case No.  
vs. ) 5:14-cv-05344-BLF (PSG)  
)  
ARISTA NETWORKS, INC., )  
)  
Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KIRK LOUGHEED  
Palo Alto, California  
Friday, November 20, 2015  
Volume I

Reported by:  
CARLA SOARES  
CSR No. 5908  
Job No. 2187110  
Pages 1 - 189

Page 1

1 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Compound, vague. 15:37:00

2 THE WITNESS: -- we did not make any such

3 assertions.

4 MR. NEUKOM: And foundation.

5 BY MR. FERRALL: 15:37:08

6 Q Did you ever have an agreement with

7 Mr. Rekhter about the right to use any of his

8 contributions to the BGP work that you guys did?

9 MR. NEUKOM: Vague, compound, calls for a

10 legal conclusion -- 15:37:44

11 THE WITNESS: Could you --

12 MR. NEUKOM: -- and mischaracterizes prior

13 testimony.

14 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the

15 question, please? 15:37:59

16 BY MR. FERRALL:

17 Q Sure. I'll ask a slightly different

18 question.

19 Did you ever ask permission from

20 Mr. Rekhter to use any of his contributions to the 15:38:09

21 BGP project?

22 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Vague, compound,

23 calls for a legal conclusion.

24 THE WITNESS: We did not seek permission

25 from one another for our individual contributions. 15:38:26

Page 128

1 BY MR. FERRALL: 15:38:30

2 Q Okay. IBM didn't ask you for permission,  
3 either, correct?

4 A No.

5 Q One of the CLI terms in this case is the 15:39:20  
6 term "IP address."

7 Are you familiar with that?

8 A I'm familiar with the command expression  
9 "IP address."

10 Q Did you come up with the phrase "IP 15:39:33  
11 address"?

12 A When Cisco came out of Stanford, we were  
13 shipping an IP -- an Internet protocol only router.  
14 And there was a command "address" that took some  
15 arguments. 15:40:12

16 And after -- after a while, we started  
17 adding other protocols to the software. The first  
18 one was "DECnet." And since "address" was already  
19 taken to refer to IP functionality, Internet  
20 protocol functionality, we came up with "DECnet 15:40:44  
21 address," and then had a DECnet address after it.

22 That "DECnet address" command could have  
23 very well have said "address," and then DECnet  
24 addresses look different than IP addresses, and we  
25 could have had the software figure out which type of 15:41:11

1 address we were referring to. But we chose "DECnet 15:41:13

2 address."

3 It became clear that much more -- that we

4 were becoming a multi-protocol router. We were

5 adding other protocols into the box, into the 15:41:27

6 software.

7 And I had -- I value -- I value the

8 aesthetic of having a symmetric-looking command line

9 expression, symmetric hierarchy. It was clear we

10 were heading towards a hierarchy. 15:41:52

11 So at some point after DECnet and perhaps

12 a few other protocols to make things look very

13 similar, we started prefacing our IP-only commands

14 with "IP." And that gave a very -- what I thought

15 was a very elegant, symmetric, elegant way of 15:42:16

16 referring to different protocols within a

17 multi-protocol router.

18 So that is the history of the "IP address"

19 command.

20 Q Okay. My question was simpler. I 15:42:36

21 appreciate that answer. But my question was a

22 little simpler than that, but let me ask it a

23 different way.

24 You had heard of the term "IP address"

25 before you joined Cisco, hadn't you? 15:42:51

1 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Vague and asked 15:42:59  
2 and answered.

3 THE WITNESS: I suppose I had. When one  
4 is talking about different networking protocols, one  
5 needs to clarify which networking protocol one is 15:43:10  
6 talking about. So it was probably terminology that  
7 was in the air.

8 BY MR. FERRALL:

9 Q Does the same go for "IP host," also? You  
10 had heard that before you joined Cisco? 15:43:29

11 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Misstates prior  
12 testimony.

13 THE WITNESS: The original form of the  
14 "host" command was just "host command." It was  
15 another one that had to distinguish, in a 15:43:41  
16 multi-protocol world, in a multi-protocol piece of  
17 software, what you were talking about.

18 It would have looked very odd in a  
19 multi-protocol router that there was one protocol  
20 that wasn't prefaced by a -- some descriptive 15:44:03  
21 keyword.

22 BY MR. FERRALL:

23 Q Following up on that, the purpose of your  
24 use of "IP" as the first keyword in that command "IP  
25 host" was to distinguish the protocol that it's 15:44:33

Page 131

1 the like, or "database lookup" or... 16:16:59

2 BY MR. FERRALL:

3 Q Did you coin the term "domain lookup"?

4 A I decided to use that as a command

5 expression within the software, yes. 16:17:21

6 Q I'll ask the question one more time. I'm  
7 asking you if you coined the term "domain lookup."

8 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Asked and  
9 answered and vague.

10 THE WITNESS: I did not. 16:17:43

11 BY MR. FERRALL:

12 Q Do you know who did?

13 A No idea.

14 Q When was -- to your knowledge, when was  
15 the term "routing" ever used in conjunction with the 16:18:41  
16 Internet protocol?

17 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Vague and  
18 foundation.

19 THE WITNESS: I don't know when the term  
20 "routing" was used. 16:19:05

21 BY MR. FERRALL:

22 Q Were people in the field talking about  
23 routing in connection with IP before you joined  
24 Cisco?

25 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Vague, compound. 16:19:24

Page 144

1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 16:19:27

2 BY MR. FERRALL:

3 Q Tell me what, if anything, was creative  
4 about your decision to use the term "IP routing" as  
5 a CLI command. 16:19:51

6 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Calls for opinion  
7 testimony.

8 THE WITNESS: At Stanford where we had  
9 terminal servers and gateways in the same software,  
10 there were times when it was convenient -- just 16:20:26  
11 because something had multiple interfaces, it could  
12 still perhaps be a terminal server. So I needed a  
13 way of turning off, disabling routing functionality.

14 And I used the command -- I chose the  
15 keyword -- configuration keyword command expression 16:21:07  
16 "routing." Then "no routing" would turn off routing  
17 functionality in whatever software was running at  
18 the time despite its hardware configuration.

19 And then later on at Cisco, to keep the --  
20 keep the form of the hierarchy of commands, we added 16:21:35  
21 the -- we added our choice of -- we added "IP" in  
22 front of it because you could potentially turn off  
23 other sorts of routing, or at least that was the --  
24 that was the -- that was a possibility for other  
25 network protocols. 16:22:02

1 BY MR. FERRALL: 16:22:10

2 Q So you mentioned the term "hierarchy" a  
3 couple of times now. So let me ask you to explain  
4 the best you can, what is the hierarchy of the Cisco  
5 CLI command? 16:22:38

6 A I can give you examples. There aren't  
7 many.

8 There's -- on the EXEC commands, you can  
9 have things like "show" as a root of all the  
10 commands that -- the root keyword for all the 16:23:15  
11 commands that show status of the system.

12 And then at the next level in the  
13 hierarchy, you can say, for example, "show  
14 interface," or I could say, "show routing." Or I  
15 could also say -- if I wanted to examine stuff that 16:23:40  
16 was specific to -- specific to some IP-related  
17 component of the system, my next keyword would be  
18 "show IP," and then I would specify something like  
19 "interface." And it would show me -- it would show  
20 me the information about -- all the IP information 16:24:08  
21 about all the interfaces.

22 And then I can extend that command to be  
23 something like an interface name. So "show IP  
24 interface," and then I specify an interface,  
25 "Ethernet zero," and I see all the information 16:24:25

Page 146

1       there. 16:24:29

2               In configuration commands, there's a class

3       of configuration commands such as the configuration

4       command "interface," which I say "interface," and

5       then I say the interface name and number. And then 16:24:59

6       on subsequent lines -- and I'm in an interface

7       configuration mode is what the terminology is these

8       days. That terminology was not in use when I was

9       doing it.

10              And you could say -- if you wanted to do 16:25:21

11       something regarding IP, let's see, you could say

12       "IP" -- I can say "IP enable" or I can say "no IP

13       enable" to turn off and on IP on that interface.

14              I could say "IP," then a protocol name,

15       and then additional keywords and arguments after 16:25:58

16       that.

17              And it is designed so that the top part of

18       the hierarchy is relatively fixed by time, and the

19       software underneath is implemented so that as new

20       functionality becomes available, you can put it in 16:26:19

21       the logical, correct place in the hierarchy.

22              If we invented something like -- well, we

23       had X25 support. We could say "X25," and then set

24       various parameters for X25. IPX, the DECnet

25       example. 16:26:42

1 And you do it for protocols, anything 16:26:49  
2 where there's multiple -- where if we're configuring  
3 something that is a complex entity, we can nest  
4 things and make them symmetric and organized, and  
5 basically obeying already established choices that 16:27:23  
6 we have made.

7 If somebody -- and that provides the  
8 framework, which is a framework that I largely laid  
9 down in the early days of Cisco for how  
10 configuration works and for how things like "show" 16:27:42  
11 commands and the like work.

12 Q So that framework of the hierarchy that  
13 you described, you said that you largely laid down  
14 in the early days of Cisco. Did anyone else  
15 participate in establishing that framework for the 16:28:07  
16 hierarchy?

17 A There were many engineers eventually  
18 working on IOS even in the early days, and I was the  
19 lead software person. I had -- I had veto on  
20 choices that others would make, although we tended 16:28:41  
21 to discuss what choices we wanted. And over time, a  
22 lot of the early engineers internalized the  
23 aesthetic that I was trying to maintain.

24 Q And if I understand, you described the  
25 benefit of this framework of the hierarchy as 16:29:42

1 allowing for implementing new functionality in a -- 16:29:49  
2 what you said -- in a logical, correct place?  
3 A That is the benefit.  
4 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Asked and  
5 answered. 16:30:09  
6 BY MR. FERRALL:  
7 Q Are there any other benefits to the  
8 framework for the hierarchy?  
9 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Vague.  
10 THE WITNESS: We believed that it would 16:30:29  
11 make it easier -- we believed that the customers  
12 would like it.  
13 BY MR. FERRALL:  
14 Q Why is that?  
15 A Customers always like products that look 16:30:52  
16 like they were built by one company.  
17 Q How would your hierarchy contribute to  
18 that?  
19 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Foundation, calls  
20 for speculation and vague. 16:31:06  
21 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. What was your  
22 question?  
23 BY MR. FERRALL:  
24 Q How does the framework for the hierarchy  
25 that you described allow customers to feel like the 16:31:22

Page 149

1 before I offer a -- 16:38:18

2 MR. NEUKOM: Which page are you currently

3 looking at, Brian?

4 MR. FERRALL: The page that begins with

5 the "clear" command. It's number 5, I guess. I 16:38:24

6 didn't realize there were pages on here.

7 MR. NEUKOM: Mr. Simmons has helpfully

8 reminded me that we've now been on the record for an

9 hour and 15 minutes. I'm open-minded on timing, but

10 when we get to a good spot, it would be nice to take 16:38:49

11 a short break.

12 MR. FERRALL: Okay. Let me just finish

13 some questions about this.

14 THE WITNESS: Okay. I've scanned it

15 briefly. 16:39:32

16 BY MR. FERRALL:

17 Q Okay. If you could turn to page 5, which

18 is where the "clear" command set begins.

19 Are you there?

20 A Yes, I am. 16:39:39

21 Q Okay. At Cisco, do you have a terminology

22 for the different levels of the hierarchy?

23 A No, no particular terminology for the

24 hierarchy. There would be a top level command, top

25 level commands and sub commands. 16:40:14

Page 153

1 Q So in this case, there's a command, for 16:40:25  
2 example, "clear ARP-cache," right?

3 A Yes.

4 Q In that command, is that the ARP cache  
5 that's being cleared? 16:40:55

6 A I believe that command clears -- clears  
7 all address resolution caches. There's more than  
8 one address resolution protocol in the system, or at  
9 least there was when we were a primarily  
10 multi-protocol router. 16:41:19

11 Q Okay. If you go to page 6, the next page,  
12 now, for this, "interface Ethernet," is that a  
13 hierarchy?

14 A It is --

15 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Calls for opinion 16:42:27  
16 testimony.

17 THE WITNESS: It is the leading element of  
18 a hierarchy.

19 One of the choices that I made at Stanford  
20 actually in introducing the "interface" command was 16:42:49  
21 that it assumed a block structure where I could say  
22 things like "interface Ethernet zero," and then I  
23 could say -- I could have a bunch of -- at Stanford  
24 I had a bunch of what we called interface sub  
25 commands that would follow on subsequent lines. 16:43:17

1 I could very well have made the choice to 16:43:21  
2 write that as, on one line, for example, "interface  
3 Ethernet zero address," an IP address, a subnet  
4 mask, and you would have a hierarchy of  
5 configuration stuff. 16:43:44

6 Going outside into Cisco, you could have  
7 "interface Ethernet zero." I could have "IP" and  
8 then a bunch of IP keywords after that. I could  
9 have "interface Ethernet zero DECnet" and have a  
10 bunch of DECnet keywords underneath that. And that 16:44:00  
11 would very clearly demonstrate a hierarchy.

12 I made the aesthetic choice of saying --  
13 of turning the word "interface" -- which I could  
14 have chosen something like "IF" or "net-in" or  
15 something like that, but I chose "interface" -- I 16:44:23  
16 like writing words out -- I chose as a typing  
17 shorthand to say this is the front end of all -- of  
18 the hierarchy for all the rest of these commands.

19 So this does -- it is a hierarchy,  
20 especially in the Cisco multi-protocol world that it 16:44:47  
21 evolved into.

22 BY MR. FERRALL:

23 Q So I'm trying to understand the nature of  
24 your hierarchy.

25 You said, for example, you could have used 16:45:50

1 "IF" instead of "interface." 16:45:51

2 A It was just an example of choice of --  
3 choice of word.

4 But under discussion was rather what was a  
5 hierarchy here. And these are the -- "interface" 16:46:05  
6 with an argument after it is the first part of a  
7 hierarchy.

8 You could draw this in a tree shape, and  
9 it would be -- the hierarchy would be very obvious.

10 Q So is it still -- would it still be using 16:46:36  
11 your hierarchy if this command were "IF Ethernet"?

12 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Calls for  
13 speculation, vague.

14 THE WITNESS: There's many other pieces to  
15 the -- there are many other pieces to the hierarchy. 16:47:00  
16 This is -- I was aiming for a hierarchical,  
17 symmetric, aesthetically pleasing set of  
18 configuration command expressions.

19 BY MR. FERRALL:

20 Q Tell me about -- what's aesthetically 16:47:28  
21 pleasing about this command expression "interface  
22 Ethernet"?

23 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Calls for opinion  
24 testimony.

25 THE WITNESS: This is a command fragment. 16:47:44

Page 156

1 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Misstates prior 17:31:18  
2 testimony.

3 THE WITNESS: To the best of my  
4 recollection, soon after I acquired the copy of the  
5 Yeager software. 17:31:42

6 I didn't like his lack of hierarchy, so I  
7 started grouping commands that displayed the status  
8 of data structures. I started putting them under --  
9 I started building a hierarchy under "show." It was  
10 not a very deep hierarchy at the time. 17:32:07

11 BY MR. FERRALL:

12 Q So was "show" the first hierarchy that you  
13 built?

14 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Vague.

15 THE WITNESS: I don't know if it was the 17:32:27  
16 first. It was an early one.

17 BY MR. FERRALL:

18 Q And tell me about the process whereby you  
19 selected the word "show."

20 A I considered the function that I wanted. 17:32:44  
21 I wanted to see what the contents of data structures  
22 were inside the software.

23 And I had a number of possibilities.

24 There was "show," there was "display," there was  
25 "print," there was "list," there was "dump." All 17:33:08

Page 167

1 sorts of reasonable possibilities. 17:33:12

2 And the one that appealed to me was

3 "show."

4 Q Why did "show" appeal to you?

5 A Because in my mind, I said I want to tell 17:33:31

6 the software, show me your -- show me your data

7 structures.

8 Q Why was that better in your mind than the

9 alternatives? Why was "show" better than the

10 alternatives? 17:33:51

11 A It appealed to me aesthetically. I had to

12 pick something, and that one -- that one appealed to

13 me at that time.

14 Q Had you ever heard of someone using the

15 two words "show users" together before you decided 17:34:37

16 to use that as a command?

17 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Vague.

18 THE WITNESS: I don't have a memory of

19 that at this point.

20 BY MR. FERRALL: 17:35:30

21 Q What about the term "show hosts"? Can you

22 tell me the creative process that went into choosing

23 that command?

24 A So I wanted to see the names of the

25 computers that were on the network. 17:36:09

Page 168

```
1      There were -- possibilities included 17:36:24
2      something like "who," or -- that wouldn't go
3      anywhere because I wanted to start building things
4      into a hierarchy. And I'd already started -- okay,
5      if I'm going to be showing some internal data 17:36:41
6      structure which showed -- show host would show that,
7      so I was already constrained by the choice of that
8      keyword to -- for displaying internal data
9      information.
```

```
10      I could have said something like 17:37:01
11  "computers." I could have said something like
12  "names," "systems," "network systems."
13      Some people thought "end systems" was a
14  good thing to call -- to distinguish between
15  computers and routers. "Host" was what I ended up 17:37:27
16  choosing.
```

17 Q Okay. Were you using the word "host" in  
18 the command "show hosts" differently than how that  
19 word had been used in networking for years before  
20 that? 17:39:24

21 MR. NEUKOM: Okay. Foundation, vague,  
22 calls for opinion.

23 THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with the  
24 years before, but I was using the term as I  
25 understood it at that time. 17:39:43

1 BY MR. FERRALL: 17:39:52

2 Q Well, you were aware that others in the  
3 computer field used the word "host," right, before  
4 you did?

5 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Foundation and 17:40:02  
6 vague.

7 THE WITNESS: I was not aware of anybody  
8 that was using that term in a command expression in  
9 a router or gateway, as we called it then.

10 BY MR. FERRALL: 17:40:25

11 Q That wasn't my question. My question was,  
12 you were aware of people in the field of computing  
13 using the word "host," right, before you used it?

14 MR. NEUKOM: Same objections and asked and  
15 answered. 17:40:46

16 THE WITNESS: I was aware of people using  
17 the word "host" in the computer field.

18 BY MR. FERRALL:

19 Q Before you used it?

20 A Yes. 17:41:04

21 Q Now, according to your counsel, the  
22 command "show host name" was created substantially  
23 later; is that -- am I right about that?

24 MR. NEUKOM: Objection to form.

25 THE WITNESS: Are you asking me or my 17:41:41

Page 170

1 MR. FERRALL: Why don't we go off the 17:45:36  
2 record and get a time check.

3 THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Going off the record,  
4 the time is 5:45 p.m.

5 (Recess, 5:45 p.m. - 5:46 p.m.) 17:45:41

6 THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Back on the record,  
7 the time is 5:46 p.m.

8 MR. NEUKOM: So back on the record. I  
9 think we're all in agreement and the videographer  
10 has confirmed that there are 26 minutes left. 17:46:06

11 MR. FERRALL: Right.

12 Q So you have a set of commands that begin  
13 with the keyword "clear," right?

14 A Um-hum.

15 MR. NEUKOM: I think he needs a "yes" or a 17:46:36  
16 "no."

17 THE WITNESS: Yes, the Cisco command line  
18 interface has a hierarchy of command expressions  
19 that begin with the keyword "clear."

20 BY MR. FERRALL: 17:46:55

21 Q Were you aware of any operating system  
22 that used the word "clear" as a command before you  
23 joined Cisco?

24 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Vague.

25 THE WITNESS: I believe there is a UNIX 17:47:21

Page 173

1 command "clear" that blanks a screen. I'm not aware 17:47:22  
2 of any operating system that uses "clear" in the  
3 sense that the Cisco CLI uses "clear."

4 BY MR. FERRALL:

5 Q Tell me about the creative process that 17:47:57  
6 went into your selection of the word "clear" as the  
7 first keyword in these commands.

8 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Vague and  
9 compound.

10 THE WITNESS: I needed some way of 17:48:19  
11 resetting or clearing data structures in the box,  
12 something that's very useful in the debugging of --  
13 that sort of action is very useful in debugging  
14 software, correcting problems in a running system  
15 and the like. 17:48:53

16 And "reset" or "clear" or "zero" or  
17 "restart" certainly could have been possibilities.  
18 It was a very generically simple example. It was  
19 another sort of generic activity of I wanted to  
20 clear or reset some data structures. And that 17:49:20  
21 one -- I don't recall, but I suspect that one seemed  
22 reasonable and came to mind.

23 BY MR. FERRALL:

24 Q Do you recall why you selected the word  
25 "clear"? 17:49:47

1 A It seemed -- it seemed aesthetically 17:49:52  
2 pleasing to me. It was something that was  
3 descriptive of an action that I wanted to take that  
4 was a fairly generic action, a fairly common action.

5 Q What does "banner MOTD" mean? 17:50:47

6 A MOTD is message of the day.

7 Q Did you make up that acronym?

8 A No, I did not.

9 Q Who did?

10 A I don't know. 17:51:07

11 Q Did you coin the term "banner" as an  
12 operating system command?

13 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Vague.

14 THE WITNESS: I simply implemented the  
15 command. 17:51:37

16 BY MR. FERRALL:

17 Q Are you aware of operating systems in  
18 existence before you joined Cisco that used the  
19 command "banner"?

20 A I don't recall any at this point. 17:51:52

21 Q When did you come up with the command  
22 "banner MOTD"?

23 A The command that came first was just  
24 "banner," and its function was to print a vacant  
25 terminal message on a terminal and to apply some 17:52:26

Page 175

1 jitter in the positioning so that it wouldn't burn 17:52:31  
2 in those letters in the -- in one spot in the  
3 terminal.

4 Then I think after we left Stanford --  
5 actually, I'm not clear when the MOTD was 17:52:55  
6 implemented. I suspect it was after I left  
7 Stanford, but I'm not -- my memory is not clear on  
8 that.

9 Q So to be clear, you're not saying that you  
10 came up with the term "banner" as a command, are 17:53:15  
11 you?

12 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Misstates prior  
13 testimony, vague.

14 THE WITNESS: I implemented certain  
15 functionality that I triggered with that 17:53:26  
16 configuration command.

17 BY MR. FERRALL:

18 Q I'm going to ask the question again.  
19 Are you saying that you came up with the  
20 term "banner" as a command? 17:53:38

21 MR. NEUKOM: Same objections.

22 THE WITNESS: That was a choice that I  
23 made.

24 BY MR. FERRALL:

25 Q You borrowed it from another operating 17:53:55

1 A IPv6 address. IPv6 route. 18:08:37

2 Q What was your role in composing IPv6

3 address?

4 A I was creating a prototype IPv6

5 implementation. 18:09:03

6 Q Did you come up with that command, "IPv6

7 address"?

8 A Yes.

9 Q When did you do that?

10 A I believe it was 1996. 18:09:21

11 Q Did you work with anyone else on that?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Who?

14 A Dino Farinacci and Rand Atkinson, and

15 later Pedro Marquez. 18:09:42

16 Q The other one you said was IPv6 route?

17 A That may have been Dino.

18 MR. FERRALL: Let me go off the record for

19 a second.

20 THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Going off the record, 18:10:11

21 the time is 6:10 p.m.

22 (Recess, 6:10 p.m. - 6:11 p.m.)

23 THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Back on the record.

24 The time is 6:11 p.m.

25 /// 18:11:34

Page 184

1 BY MR. FERRALL: 18:11:36

2 Q Did you compose the command "timers basic  
3 RIP"?

4 A I believe I did.

5 Q Prior to your joining Cisco, are you 18:11:55  
6 familiar with any commands that use the word  
7 "timers"?

8 MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Vague.

9 THE WITNESS: No, I was not aware of any  
10 operating system, general purpose or network 18:12:13  
11 specific, that used -- had a "timers" command.

12 BY MR. FERRALL:

13 Q How did you come up with the command  
14 "timers basic RIP"? Describe that creative process  
15 for me. 18:12:30

16 A There developed a need or a desire to  
17 change some of the fundamental timing constants  
18 of -- I think first was the IGRP routing protocol,  
19 and I implemented a command that allowed those  
20 timers to be user-configured. 18:12:59

21 And later on I or someone else extended  
22 that to the RIP timers so customers could speed up  
23 or slow down the pulse of routing updates.)

24 Q And when did that occur?

25 A 1988 or 1989. 18:13:36

1 Q How did you choose the term -- the words 18:13:39  
2 "timers basic" for this function?  
3 A I don't remember where "basic" came from.  
4 But using the keyword "timers" was my -- was my  
5 introduction, was my creation. 18:14:00

6 MR. NEUKOM: Counsel, I believe we're now  
7 beyond seven hours.

8 MR. FERRALL: Okay. Well, I -- given  
9 Mr. Lougheed's tenure at Cisco, I thank him for his  
10 time, but I will say I think we deserve some more 18:14:22  
11 time with him.

12 But I understand seven hours is up and  
13 you're going to say enough is enough for today I  
14 take it; is that right?

15 MR. NEUKOM: Certainly for today for the 18:14:31  
16 sake of the witness. And we will respectfully  
17 disagree with the idea that counsel needs more than  
18 seven hours --

19 MR. FERRALL: Okay.

20 MR. NEUKOM: -- needs more than today. 18:14:41  
21 But we can discuss that for another day.

22 In the meantime, I should note for the  
23 record the witness reserves the right to review the  
24 transcript and make corrections.

25 Brian, I'm not sure I did that for 18:14:51

1 Mr. Tjong. If you're okay with it, I'd like to just 18:14:53  
2 do a stipulation across the case that both sides  
3 have the 30-day review and errata right for all  
4 transcripts regardless whether counsel puts it on  
5 the record at the depo as a two-way street. 18:15:04

6 MR. FERRALL: That's fine. I thought it  
7 existed as a matter of procedure anyway. So that's  
8 fine.

9 MR. NEUKOM: I hope you're right, but glad  
10 to have the stipulation, even if it's unnecessary. 18:15:17

11 MR. FERRALL: Okay.

12 MR. NEUKOM: Thanks very much.

13 THE VIDEO OPERATOR: This concludes  
14 today's videotaped deposition of Mr. Kirk Lougheed.  
15 We're off the record at 6:15 p.m. Thank you. 18:15:25

16 (TIME NOTED: 6:15 p.m.)

17 --o0o--

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25